arXiv:1606.01024v1 [math.FA] 3 Jun 2016

STABILITY FOR WEIGHTED COMPOSITION C_0-SEMIGROUPS ON LEBESGUE AND SOBOLEV SPACES

JAVIER AROZA AND ELISABETTA MANGINO

Abstract. Stability of weighted composition strongly continuous semigroups acting on Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces is studied, without the use of spectral conditions on the generator of the semigroup. Applications to the generalized von Foerster - Lasota semigroup and a comparison with hypercylicity conditions are presented.
MSC (2010): Primary 47D06, 47A16; Secondary 35F10

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and let $\varphi : [0,\infty[\times\Omega \to \Omega$ be a semiflow, i.e. a continuous function such that $\varphi(0,\cdot) = id_\Omega$, $\varphi(t,\cdot)\circ\varphi(s,\cdot) = \varphi(t+s,\cdot)$ for all $t,s \geq 0$ and such that $\varphi(t,\cdot)$ is injective for all $t \geq 0$. For example, φ could be the solution of the initial value problem

$$x' = F(x), x(0) = x_0 \tag{1}$$

on Ω, where $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Lipschitz continuous vector field over Ω and $x_0 \in \Omega$.

Moreover let $h : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and define for every $t \geq 0$:

$$h_t : \Omega \to (0,\infty), x \mapsto \exp(\int_0^t h(\varphi(s,x))\,ds).$$

Denoting by λ be the N-dimensional Lebesgue measure, let $\rho : \Omega \to (0,+\infty)$ be a λ-measurable locally integrable function and consider, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space

$$L^p_\rho(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid u\ \lambda - \text{measurable and } \|u\|_p < \infty\},$$

where $\|u\|_p = \left(\int_\Omega |u(t)|^p \rho(t)dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Finally set

$$(T(t)f)(x) := h_t(x) f(\varphi(t,x)), \qquad f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega),\ x \in \Omega,\ t \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

If φ is continuously differentiable, in [11, Proposition 4.12, Theorem 4.7], it has been proved that $\mathcal{T} = (T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a C_0-semigroup in $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$ if and only if there exist $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for every $t \geq 0$, the following inequality holds

$$|h_t|^p \rho \leq M e^{\omega t} \rho(\varphi(t,\cdot))|\det D\varphi(t,\cdot)|, \qquad \lambda\text{-a.e. on } \Omega,$$

where $D\varphi(t,\cdot)$ is the Jacobian of $\varphi(t,\cdot)$, or equivalently

$$\chi_{\varphi(t,\Omega)} \frac{h_t^p(\varphi(-t,\cdot))\rho(\varphi(-t,\cdot))|\det D\varphi(-t,\cdot)|}{\rho} \leq M e^{t\omega} \qquad \lambda - \text{a.e.},$$

where $\varphi(-t,\cdot)$ is the inverse function of $\varphi(t,\cdot)$ and $D\varphi(-t,\cdot)$ is its Jacobian. In this case ρ is said to be a p-admissible weight and for every $t \geq 0$:

$$||T(t)|| = \left\| \frac{\rho_{t,p}}{\rho} \right\|_\infty, \tag{3}$$

This study is supported in part by Generalitat Valenciana, Project PROMETEO/2008/101. The first author acknowledges the support of grant MHE2011-00201 from Ministerio de Educación. The authors thank Thomas Kalmes for discussions on the topic of this paper.

where

$$\rho_{t,p} = \chi_{\varphi(t,\Omega)}|h_t(\varphi(-t,\cdot))|^p \rho(\varphi(-t,\cdot))|\det D\varphi(-t,\cdot)|.$$

Our aim is to characterize the stability of the C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{T}$ on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$, namely when

$$\forall f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega) \qquad \lim_{t\to+\infty} \|T_t f\|_p = 0.$$

The classical approach to stability is to analyze the spectrum of the generator of the semigroup (see e.g. [9]). We will instead characterize stability in terms of the functions $\rho_{t,p}$.

After studying general conditions in the multidimensional case, we will focus on the one-dimensional case, obtaining explicit characterizations when the semiflow is associated to a function F as in (1). Similar characterizations for weighted composition semigroups acting on Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(a,b)$ are considered and finally, applications to the semigroups associated with the (generalized) linear von Foerster-Lasota equations are investigated. In the appendix, we will compare the stability conditions with the hypercyclicity conditions for the same semigroups that were obtained in [3, 11, 12].

1. The multidimensional case

By sake of completeness, we state an essential known lemma about convergence with respect to the w^*-topology in $L^\infty(\Omega,\mu)$ (see e.g. [5]):

Lemma 1.1. *Let (Ω,μ) be a σ-finite measure space and let $\psi : [0,+\infty[\to L^\infty(\Omega,\mu)$ be a locally bounded function. Then $w^*\text{-}\lim_{t\to\infty}\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if*

(1) $\sup_{t\geq 0}||\psi(t)||_\infty < +\infty$;
(2) *for every $Q \subseteq \Omega$ with $\mu(Q) < +\infty$, it holds*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_Q \psi(t)d\mu = 0.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, φ a continuously differentiable semiflow on Ω, $h \in C(\Omega)$, ρ a p-admissible function, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the semigroup on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$ defined by (2). Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{T}$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$,*
(ii) *It holds:*
  (1) *$\mathcal{T}$ is bounded.*
  (2) *for every bounded interval $Q \subseteq \Omega$*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_Q \rho_{t,p}(x)dx = 0.$$

Proof. Observe that, by (3), $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded if and only if $\sup_{t\geq 0}\left\|\frac{\rho_{t,p}}{\rho}\right\|_\infty < +\infty$.

Then $\mathcal{T}$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_\Omega |h_t(x)f(\varphi(t,x))|^p\,\rho(x)dx = 0, \qquad \forall f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega),$$

or, equivalently, with a change of variable (see also the argument in the proof of [11, Proposition 3.11]):

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_\Omega |f(y)|^p \rho_{t,p}(y)dy = 0, \qquad \forall f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega)$$

that is

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_\Omega |g(y)|\rho_{t,p}(y)dy = 0, \qquad \forall g \in L^1_\rho(\Omega).$$

By applying the previous to $g^+ = g \vee 0$ and $g^- = g \wedge 0$, we get that it is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_\Omega g(x) \frac{\rho_{t,p}(x)}{\rho(x)} \rho(x) dx = 0, \qquad \forall g \in L^1_\rho(\Omega).$$

namely, $\frac{\rho_{t,p}(x)}{\rho(x)} \to 0$ with respect to the w^*-topology on $L^\infty(\Omega)$ induced by $L^1_\rho(\Omega)$. Since the function $t \mapsto \rho_{t,p} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is locally bounded, the last assertion is equivalent to (ii) by Lemma 1.1. □

Remark 1.3. We could also consider the complex valued function space $L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, $h \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and define for every $f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and every $t \geq 0$

$$T^{\mathbb{C}}_h(t)(f)(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^t h(\varphi(s,x)) \right) f(\varphi(x,t)).$$

By the quoted results in [11], if ρ is admissible, then $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{C}}_h = (T^{\mathbb{C}}_h(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Consider, for every $f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $t \geq 0$

$$T^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{Re}h}(t)(f)(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \mathrm{Re}h(\varphi(s,x)) \right) f(\varphi(x,t)).$$

It holds that $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{C}}_h$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{Re}h}$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Indeed, if $f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$|T^{\mathbb{C}}_h(t)(f)(x)| = |T^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{Re}h}(t)(f)(x)|$$

and we get immediately that if $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{C}}_h$ is stable then $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{Re}h}$ is stable. Conversely, if $f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, then $|f| \in L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$|T^{\mathbb{C}}_h(t)(f)(x)| = |T^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{Re}h}(t)(|f|)(x)|$$

and again we get that if $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{Re}h}$ is stable, then $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{C}}_h$ is stable too.

Taking into account the previous consideration, we will only consider the real valued function space $L^p_\rho(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

2. One-dimensional case on Lebesgue spaces

In the following sections we assume that:

(H1) $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open, $h \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$;

(H2) $F \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and φ is the semiflow associated with F; i.e. for every $x_0 \in \Omega$, $\varphi(\cdot, x_0) : J(x_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$\dot{x} = F(x), \ x(0) = x_0$$

where the $J(x_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is the maximal domain of $\varphi(\cdot, x_0)$; It is known that $J(x_0)$ is an open interval such that $0 \in J(x_0)$;

(H3) $[0, \infty) \subset J(x_0)$ for every $x_0 \in \Omega$.

We refer to the monograph of Amann [1] for further results on the topic of flows. Let us define the following subsets of Ω:

$$\Omega_0 := \{x \in \Omega \mid F(x) = 0\}, \qquad \Omega_1 = \Omega \setminus \Omega_0.$$

To emphasize the dependence on F and h, we will denote the semigroup defined in (2) by $\mathcal{T}_{F,h} = (T_{F,h}(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

We recall the following results that were proved in [12, 3], Lemma 7 and Corollary 12 respectively.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}, F, h$ satisfying (H1)-(H3) and let ρ be p-admissible measurable function for F and h.*

(1) *Let* $[a,b] \subset \Omega_1$ *and set* $\alpha := a, \beta := b$ *if* $F_{|[a,b]} > 0$, *respectively* $\alpha := b, \beta := a$ *if* $F_{|[a,b]} < 0$. *There is a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\forall\, x \in [a,b] : \ \frac{1}{C} \leq \rho(x) \leq C$$

and

$$\forall\, t \geq 0, x \in [a,b] : \ \frac{1}{C}\rho_{t,p}(\alpha) \leq \rho_{t,p}(x) \leq C\rho_{t,p}(\beta).$$

(2) *For all* $t \geq 0$ *and* $x \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{t,p}(x) &= \chi_{\varphi(t,\Omega)}(x) \exp\left(p\int_{-t}^{0}\left[h(\varphi(s,x)) - \frac{1}{p}F'(\varphi(s,x))\right]ds\right)\rho(\varphi(-t,x)) \\
&= \begin{cases} \exp\left(pt[h(x) - \frac{1}{p}F'(x)]\right)\rho(x), & x \in \Omega_0, \\ \chi_{\varphi(t,\Omega)}(x)\exp\left(p\displaystyle\int_{\varphi(-t,x)}^{x}\frac{h(y) - \frac{1}{p}F'(y)}{F(y)}dy\right)\rho(\varphi(-t,x)), & x \in \Omega_1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. Observe that if $\lambda(\Omega_0) > 0$ then

$$\rho_{t,p}(x) = e^{pth(x)}\rho(x), \qquad \lambda - \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega_0.$$

In fact, we have that $\Omega_0 = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(a_n, b_n)$, where $a_n < b_n$; being $F \in C^1$ with $F(x) = 0$ on (a_n, b_n), then $F' = 0$ in (a_n, b_n) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, thus $F' = 0$ in Ω_0.

A first consequence of Theorem 1.2 is a characterization of stability for the C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}, F, h$ *satisfying (H1)-(H3), and let* ρ *be p-admissible measurable function for* F *and* h. *Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

i) $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ *is stable on* $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$,
ii) *It holds:*
  (1) $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ *is bounded;*
  (2) $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\rho_{t,p}(x) = 0$ *for* λ*-a.e.* $x \in \Omega_1$
  (3) *if* $\lambda(\Omega_0) > 0$, $h(x) < 0$ λ*-a.e. in* Ω_0.

Proof. If we define

$$X_i = \{f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega) \mid f = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega_i\}, \qquad i = 0, 1,$$

clearly we can identify X_i with $L^p_\rho(\Omega_i)$ and

$$L^p_\rho(\Omega) = X_0 \oplus X_1 = L^p_\rho(\Omega_0) \oplus L^p_\rho(\Omega_1).$$

If $\lambda(\Omega_0) = 0$, then X_0 reduces to $\{0\}$ and $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$ can be identified with $L^p_\rho(\Omega_1)$.

For every $x_0 \in \Omega_0$ we have that $\varphi(t, x_0) = x_0$ for every $t \geq 0$. By the uniqueness of the solutions of the initial value problems

$$\dot{x} = F(x), \ x(0) = x_0 \, (x_0 \in \Omega),$$

$\varphi(t, \Omega_0) \subseteq \Omega_0$ and $\varphi(t, \Omega_1) \subseteq \Omega_1$. This implies that $L^p_\rho(\Omega_i)$ is invariant under $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ for $i = 0, 1$. Thus we can define $\mathcal{T}^i_{F,h} = \mathcal{T}_{F,h|L^p_\rho(\Omega_i)}$ and we can write

$$\mathcal{T}_{F,h} = \mathcal{T}^0_{F,h} \oplus \mathcal{T}^1_{F,h}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}^i_{F,h}$, $i = 0, 1$, are stable on $L^p_\rho(\Omega_i)$.

Observe moreover that $T^0_{F,h}(t)(f)(x) = \exp(th(x))f(x)$ for every $f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega_0)$ and $x \in \Omega_0$.

" $\Rightarrow$": Let $x \in \Omega_1$ such that $F(x) \neq 0$. If $F(x) > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $[x, x+r] \subseteq \Omega_1$ with $F(s) > 0$ for $s \in [x, x+r]$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\rho_{t,p}(x) \leq C\rho_{t,p}(s) \qquad \text{a.e. } s \in [x, x+r],$$

hence

$$\rho_{t,p}(x) = \frac{1}{r}\int_x^{x+r} \rho_{t,p}(x)ds \leq \frac{C}{r}\int_x^{x+r} \rho_{t,p}(s)ds,$$

and therefore, by assumption and Theorem 1.2, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \rho_{t,p}(x) = 0$. If $F(x) < 0$, we consider an interval $[x-r, x]$ with $F(s) < 0$ for $s \in [x-r, x]$ and we get the assertion again by Lemma 2.1 arguing as in the case $F(x) > 0$.

By the stability of $\mathcal{T}^0_{F,h}$, we get that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_0} e^{pth(x)}|f(x)|^p \rho(x)dx = 0$$

hence either $\lambda(\Omega_0) = 0$ or if $\lambda(\Omega_0) > 0$ we have $h(x) < 0$ λ -a.e. in Ω_0.

" $\Leftarrow$": By (1) , there exists $M > 0$ such that $\rho_{t,p}(s) \leq M\rho(s)$ a.e. in Ω. Then, for every $f \in L^p_\rho(\Omega_1)$, being ρ locally integrable on Ω, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to get that for any bounded interval $Q \subseteq \Omega$:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_Q \rho_{t,p}(s)ds = 0.$$

□

Example 2.4 (Left translation semigroup)**.** Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}, F = 1$, and $h = 0$. It is easily seen that ρ is p-admissible for F and h for some $p \in [1, \infty)$ if the same holds for every $p \in [1, \infty)$ and the C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ on $L^p_\rho(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $(T_{F,h}(t)f)(x) = f(x+t)$. Moreover, we have $\Omega_0 = \emptyset$ and $\rho_{t,p}(x) = \rho(x-t)$.

By Theorem 2.3, $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a real finite constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\rho(x-t) \leq C\rho(x), \ \forall t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lim_{x\to-\infty} \rho(x) = 0.$$

This condition is independent of p.

Example 2.5. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, $F(x) := 1 - x$, $h(x) = 0$ so that $h_t(x) = 1$, $\varphi(t, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\partial_2\varphi(t, x) = e^{-t}$, where $\varphi(t, x) = 1 + (x-1)e^{-t}$. In this case $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is given by $(T_{F,h}(t)f)(x) = f(1 + (x-1)e^{-t})$. Furthermore, $\Omega_0 = \{1\}$, thus $\lambda(\Omega_0) = 0$, and

$$\forall\, t \geq 0 : \ \rho_{t,p}(x) = \rho(1 + (x-1)e^t)e^t, \quad x \in \Omega_1 = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

By Theorem 2.3 $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if there exists a real finite constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\rho(1 + (x-1)e^t)e^t \leq C\rho(x), \ \forall t \geq 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\} \text{ and } \lim_{|r|\to\infty} \rho(r)r = 0.$$

We can simplify the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, if we have more information about the flow:

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}, F, h$ satisfying (H1)-(H3) and let ρ be p-admissible measurable function for F and h. Assume that*

$$\forall x \in \Omega_1, \ \exists\, \overline{t} > 0 \ : \qquad x \notin \varphi(\overline{t}, \Omega).$$

where φ is the semiflow associated with F. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *$T_{F,h}$ is stable on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$,*
(ii) *It holds:*
  (1) *$T_{F,h}$ is bounded,*

(2) *if* $\lambda(\Omega_0) > 0$, $h(x) < 0$ λ-*a.e. in* Ω_0.

Proof. Simply observe that the assumption implies that $x \notin \varphi(t, \Omega)$ for every $t > \overline{t}$ and for every $x \in \Omega_1$, and therefore $\lim_{t\to\infty} \rho_{t,p}(x) = 0$. □

We will apply this corollary to the von Foerster- Lasota semigroup in Section 4.

If $\rho = 1$, a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.1(2) gives the following characterization.

Theorem 2.7. *Let* $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}, F, h$ *satisfying (H1)-(H3) and assume that* $\rho = 1$ *is a* p*-admissible function for* F *and* h*. Assume that* $F(x) \neq 0$ *for every* $x \in \Omega$.

(1) *If* $\varphi(t, \Omega) = \Omega$ *for every* $t > 0$*, then t.f.a.e.:*
  (i) $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ *is stable on* $L^p(\Omega)$*;*
  (ii) *It holds,*
    (a) *there exists* $C \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*
$$\int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(s) - \frac{1}{p}F'(s)}{F(s)} ds \leq C \quad \text{a.e. } y \in \Omega,\ t \geq 0,$$
    or, equivalently, $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ *is bounded;*
    (b) *for every* $y \in \Omega$
$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(s) - \frac{1}{p}F'(s)}{F(s)} ds = -\infty.$$

(2) *If*
$$\forall x \in \Omega_1\ \exists\ \overline{t} > 0 \qquad x \notin \varphi(\overline{t}, \Omega).$$
then t.f.a.e.:
  (i) $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ *is stable on* $L^p(\Omega)$*;*
  (ii) *there exists* $C \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*
$$\int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(s) - \frac{1}{p}F'(s)}{F(s)} ds \leq C \quad \text{a.e. } y \in \Omega,\ t \geq 0,$$
  or, equivalently, $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ *is bounded.*

3. Stability on Sobolev spaces

Throughout this section, let $I = (a, b)$ be a bounded open interval of $\mathbb{R}$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we set as usual
$$W^{1,p}(I) = \{u \in L^p(I); u' \in L^p(I)\},$$
where u' denotes the distributional derivative of u. Endowed with the norm
$$\|u\|_{1,p} = \|u\|_p + \|u'\|_p,$$
$W^{1,p}(I)$ is a Banach space. It holds that $W^{1,p}(I) \subseteq C[a, b]$ and that for any $x \in [a, b]$ the point evaluation δ_x in x is a continuous linear form on $W^{1,p}(I)$. We are interested also in the following closed subspace of $W^{1,p}(I)$,
$$W^{1,p}_*(I) := \ker \delta_a.$$
From the boundedness of I we have the topological direct sum
$$W^{1,p}(I) = W^{1,p}_*(I) \oplus \operatorname{span}\{\mathbb{1}\},$$
where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the constant function with value 1.

Let $F : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ a C^1-function satisfying (H2)-(H3) with $F(a) = 0$, $h \in C([a, b])$ and consider the restriction $\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ to $W^{1,p}(I)$, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ is a C_0-semigroup on $W^{1,p}(I)$ and $W^{1,p}_*(I)$ is invariant for $\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ (see [3, Proposistion 23]).

We recall that two C_0-semigroups $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$, on Banach spaces Y and X respectively, are said to be conjugate if there exists a linear homeomorphism $\phi : Y \to X$ with dense range such that $\phi \circ T(t) = S(t) \circ \phi$, for every $t \geq 0$. It is immediate that stability is invariant under conjugacy.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $I = (a, b)$ be a bounded interval, $1 \leq p < \infty$, F satisfying (H2), (H3) with $F(a) = 0$ and $F(x) \neq 0$ in $]a, b[$ and $h \in W^{1,\infty}(I)$. Assume that the function $[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}, y \mapsto \frac{h(y)-h(a)}{F(y)}$ belongs to $L^\infty(I)$. The following conditions hold:*

(1) *If $\varphi(t, I) = I$ for every $t > 0$, then t.f.a.e.:*
  (i) *$\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$;*
  (ii) *It holds,*
    (a) *there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(a) - \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) F'(s)}{F(s)} ds \leq C \quad a.e.\ y \in I,\ t \geq 0;$$

    (b) *for every $y \in I$*

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(a) - \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) F'(s)}{F(s)} ds = -\infty.$$

(2) *If*

$$\forall x \in I,\ \exists\ \overline{t} > 0\ :\quad x \notin \varphi(\overline{t}, I)$$

then t.f.a.e.:
  (i) *$\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$;*
  (ii) *there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(a) - \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) F'(s)}{F(s)} ds \leq C \quad a.e.\ y \in I,\ t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}(I)$ if and only if it is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$ and $h(a) < 0$.

Proof. By the discussion in [3, Section 3], the C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$ is conjugate to $\mathcal{T}_{F,F'+h(a)}$ on $L^p(I)$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}_{F,F'+h(a)}$ is stable on $L^p(I)$.

A short calculation shows that $\mathcal{T}_{F,F'+h(a)}$ is stable on $L^p(I)$ if and only if condition (ii) holds. In fact, for $\mathcal{T}_{F,F'+h(a)}$ observe that $h_t(x) = e^{th(a)}\partial_2\varphi(t, x)$ and $\rho(x) = 1$ is p-admissible for F and $F' + h(a)$ taking, for example, $M = 1$ and $w = ph(a) + (p - 1)\|F'\|_\infty$. Depending on the behavior of $\varphi(t, \Omega)$ for $t \geq 0$, the proof on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$ is over if we replace h by $F' + h(a)$ in the conditions of Theorem 2.7.

Finally, observe that

$$\mathcal{S}_{F,h(a)} = \mathcal{S}_{F,h(a)_{|W^{1,p}_*(I)}} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{F,h(a)|\text{span}\{\mathbb{1}\}}$$

Thus $\mathcal{S}_{F,h(a)}$ is stable if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{F,h(a)}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$ and on span $\{\mathbb{1}\}$, i.e., $h(a) < 0$. In fact, for $\lambda \neq 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{F,h(a)}(\lambda\mathbb{1}) = e^{h(a)t}\lambda \overset{t\to\infty}{\to} 0 \text{ if and only if } h(a) < 0.$$

We get the assertion since, by the discussion in [3, Section 3], the semigroups $\mathcal{S}_{F,h(a)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ are conjugate.

□

4. The von Foerster - Lasota equation

4.1. **The linear von Foerster-Lasota equation.** Let $I = (0,1)$ and $h \in C([0,1])$. Consider the linear von Foerster-Lasota equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) + x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t,x) = h(x)\,u(t,x), \quad t \geq 0,\ 0 < x < 1 \tag{4}$$

with the initial condition

$$u(0,x) = v(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

where v is a given function. This equation is a particular case of the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t,x) + c(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t,x) = f(x,u(t,x)) \qquad t \geq 0,\ x \in [0,1]$$

that was introduced in [16] to describe the reproduction of a population of red blood cells, mainly in connection with studies about anemia. Defining

$$T_h(t)v(x) = \exp\left(\int_{-t}^{0} h(xe^s)ds\right)v(xe^{-t}), \qquad t \geq 0,\ x \in [0,1], \tag{5}$$

the family $\mathcal{T}_h = (T_h(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a C_0-semigroup in $L^p([0,1])$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $u(t,x) = T_h(t)v(x)$, $t \geq 0, x \in [0,1]$ is the solution of the equation (4) with initial value $v \in L^p([0,1])$.

Clearly $\mathcal{T}_h = \mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ with $F(x) = -x$. In analogy with the previous section, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_h$ the restrictions of $\mathcal{T}_h$ to $W^{1,p}(0,1)$.

After the paper [15], the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathcal{T}_h$ has been studied in different function spaces by several authors (see e.g. [17, 7, 6] and the references quoted therein). We recover their results about stability by applying the discussion of Section 2 and 3.

Theorem 4.1.

a) *Assume that for $h \in C[0,1]$ the function*

$$[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x}$$

belongs to $L^1(0,1)$. Then the following properties of the associated von Foerster-Lasota semigroup $\mathcal{T}_h$ on $L^p(0,1)$ are equivalent.

i) *$\mathcal{T}_h$ is stable on $L^p(0,1)$.*

ii) *$h(0) \leq -\frac{1}{p}$.*

b) *Assume that for $h \in W^{1,\infty}(0,1)$ the function*

$$[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x}$$

belongs to $L^\infty(0,1)$. Then, for the von Foerster-Lasota semigroup $\mathcal{S}_h$, t.f.a.e.:

(i) *$\mathcal{S}_{F,h}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(I)$;*

(ii) *$h(0) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p}$.*

Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_h$ is stable on $W^{1,p}(I)$ if and only if $h(0) < 0$.

Proof. For $F(x) = -x$ we have $\varphi(t,x) = xe^{-t}$ thus, for every $x \in (0,1)$ we get that $x \notin \varphi(t,\Omega)$ if $t > -\log x$.

Proof of part a).

For λ-a.e. $x \in I$ and for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_x^{xe^{-t}} \frac{h(y) + \frac{1}{p}}{-y}dy = \int_{xe^{-t}}^{x} \frac{h(y) - h(0) + h(0) + \frac{1}{p}}{y}dy.$$

Since

$$[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x}$$

belongs to $L^1(0,1)$, we obtain for some constant $K \geq 0$ that

$$\int_{xe^{-t}}^{x} \frac{h(y) - h(0) + h(0) + \frac{1}{p}}{y} dy \leq K + \int_{xe^{-t}}^{x} \frac{h(0) + \frac{1}{p}}{y} dy.$$

By Theorem 2.7(2), $\mathcal{T}_h$ is stable if and only if there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for λ-a.e. $x \in I$ and for all $t \geq 0$

$$\int_{xe^{-t}}^{x} \frac{h(0) + \frac{1}{p}}{y} dy \leq C.$$

Observe that

$$\int_{xe^{-t}}^{x} \frac{h(0) + \frac{1}{p}}{y} dy = \left(h(0) + \frac{1}{p}\right) t.$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_h$ is stable if and only if $h(0) \leq -\frac{1}{p}$.

Proof of part b).

It follows with straightforward calculations from Theorem 3.1(2). □

4.2. **Generalized von Foerster-Lasota equation.** Let us consider $I = (0,1)$, $h \in C([0,1])$, $r > 1$ and the first order partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t,x) + x^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(t,x) = h(x)\, u(t,x), \quad t \geq 0,\ 0 < x < 1$$

with the initial condition

$$u(0,x) = v(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

where v is a given function. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{r,h}$ the C_0-semigroup on $L^p(0,1)$ associated with $F(x) = -x^r$ and h and by $\mathcal{S}_{r,h}$ its restriction to $W^{1,p}(0,1)$.

Theorem 4.2.

a) *Let $h \in C[0,1]$ and assume that the function*

$$[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{h(x) - x^{r-1}h(0)}{x^r}$$

belongs to $L^1(0,1)$. Then the following properties of $\mathcal{T}_{r,h}$ on $L^p(0,1)$ are equivalent.

i) *$\mathcal{T}_{r,h}$ is stable.*

ii) *$h(0) \leq \frac{-r}{p}$.*

b) *Let $h \in W^{1,\infty}(0,1)$ and assume that the function*

$$[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x^r}$$

belongs to $L^\infty(0,1)$. Then the following are equivalent.

i) *$\mathcal{S}_{r,h}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(0,1)$.*

ii) *$h(0) \leq 0$.*

Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_h$ is stable on $W^{1,p}(I)$ if and only if $h(0) < 0$.

Proof. Recall that $F(x) = -x^r$ thus, $\varphi(t,x) = ((r-1)t + x^{1-r})^{\frac{1}{1-r}}$ and

$$\forall\, t \geq 0, x \in (0,1]: \qquad \partial_2 \varphi(t,x) = x^{-r}((r-1)t + x^{1-r})^{\frac{r}{1-r}}$$

For all $x \in (0,1)$, choosing $\overline{t} > \frac{x^{1-r}-1}{r-1}$ we have $x \notin \varphi(\overline{t}, (0,1))$. By applying Theorem 2.7(2) and Theorem 3.1(2) we get the proofs of a) and b) respectively. In fact, for the proof of part a) since $[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{h(x) - x^{r-1}h(0)}{x^r}$ belongs to $L^1(0,1)$, if we denote by K its norm, we obtain for $y \in (0,1)$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(s)-\frac{1}{p}F'(s)}{F(s)}ds &= \int_{\varphi(t,y)}^{y} \frac{h(s)+\frac{r}{p}s^{r-1}}{s^r}ds\\ &= \int_{\varphi(t,y)}^{y} \frac{h(s)+s^{r-1}h(0)}{s^r}ds + \int_{\varphi(t,y)}^{y} \frac{h(0)+\frac{r}{p}}{s}ds\\ &\leq K + \int_{\varphi(t,y)}^{y} \frac{h(0)+\frac{r}{p}}{s}ds\\ &= K + \left(h(0)+\frac{r}{p}\right)\log\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t,y)}\right)\\ &= K + \frac{1}{r-1}\left(h(0)+\frac{r}{p}\right)\log\left(1+(r-1)ty^{r-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_{r,h}$ is stable on $L^p(0,1)$ if and only if $\left(h(0)+\frac{r}{p}\right)\leq 0$, by Theorem 2.7(2).

Concerning part b), by using Theorem 3.1(2) and by observing that, since $p\geq 1$, $r>1$, and $\frac{y}{\varphi(t,y)} = (1+(r-1)ty^{r-1})^{\frac{1}{r-1}}$, we have for some $C>0$

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right) r\log\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t,y)}\right)\leq 0,$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_y^{\varphi(t,y)} \frac{h(0)-\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)F'(s)}{F(s)}ds &\leq -\frac{h(0)}{r-1}\left(y^{1-r}-\varphi(t,y)^{1-r}\right)+C\\ &= h(0)t+C.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, $S_{r,h}$ is stable on $W^{1,p}_*(0,1)$ if and only if $h(0)\leq 0$, by Theorem 3.1(2). Of course, $S_{r,h}$ is stable in $W^{1,p}$ if and only if $h(0)<0$ by the same Theorem.

□

Appendix

We would like now to compare the previous stability conditions with hypercyclicity conditions. We recall that a C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{T}=(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on a separable Banach space X, is said to be hypercyclic if there exist $x\in X$, called hypercyclic vector, such that its orbit $\{T(t)x \ : \ t\geq 0\}$ is dense in X. We refer to the recent monographs [4, 10] for a complete reference on this topic. The hypercyclic behaviour of the weighted composition semigroups $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ has been characterized by Kalmes in [11] (see also [13, 14] for further hypercylicity related conditions on the same semigroups):

Theorem 4.3 ([11]). *Let $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ be open, F,h satisfying (H1)-(H3), $\rho:\Omega\to(0,\infty)$ be a measurable function which is p-admissible for F and h. For the C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$ the following are equivalent.*

i) *$\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is hypercyclic.*

ii) *$\lambda(\Omega_0)=0$ and for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$ for which there are m different connected components $C_1,\dots,C_m$ of Ω_1, for λ^m-almost all choices of $(x_1,\dots,x_m)\in\Pi_{j=1}^m C_j$ there is a sequence of positive numbers $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ tending to infinity such that*

$$\forall\, 1\leq j\leq m: \ \lim_{n\to\infty}\rho_{t_n,p}(x_j)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho_{-t_n,p}(x_j)=0,$$

where $\rho_{-t,p}:\Omega\to[0,\infty)$, $\qquad \rho_{-t,p}(x):=|h_t(x)|^{-p}\rho(\varphi(t,x))\partial_2\varphi(t,x)$.

Clearly if a semigroup is hypercyclic, then it cannot be stable and, in general, a semigroup can be not stable and not hypercyclic. Indeed, if we consider a semigroup $T_{F,h}$ such that $\lambda(\Omega_0) > 0$ and $h(x) > 0$ on Ω_0 then we get a semigroup which is not stable by Theorem 2.3 and not hypercyclic by Theorem 4.3. Nevertheless, there are cases in which stability and not hypercyclicity are equivalent. This happens, under suitable assumptions, e.g. for the von Foerster Lasota semigroup, as it has been proved by Dawidowicz and Poskrobko in [8].

This result will be covered by the next theorem, in the particular case $F = -x$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\Omega = (\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval, $F \in C^1([\alpha, \beta])$ satisfying (H2)-(H3). Assume F decreasing, $F(x) < 0$ for each $x \in (\alpha, \beta]$, $F(\alpha) = 0$ and such that*

$$\forall x \in \Omega_1,\ \exists\, \overline{t} > 0\ :\qquad x \notin \varphi(\overline{t}, \Omega).$$

Moreover, let $h = -\lambda F'$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, for the C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ on $L^p(\Omega)$ the following are equivalent.

(i) *$\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is stable.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is not hypercyclic.*
(iii) $\lambda \leq -\frac{1}{p}$

Proof. Observe that $\rho = 1$ is p-admissible for F and h by [3, Lemma 19]. If $\lambda = -\frac{1}{p}$, then clearly the semigroup is stable and not hypercyclic. Then assume that $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{p}$.

First observe that for every $y, z \in (\alpha, \beta)$

$$\int_y^z \frac{h(s) - \frac{1}{p}F'(s)}{F(s)} ds = -\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{p}\right) \log \frac{F(z)}{F(y)}.$$

In particular, it follows that for every $z \in (\alpha, \beta)$

$$\exists \lim_{y \to \alpha} \int_y^z \frac{h(s) - \frac{1}{p}F'(s)}{F(s)} ds = -\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{p}\right)(+\infty)$$

thus $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is hypercyclic if and only if $\lambda > -\frac{1}{p}$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is stable if and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in (\alpha, \beta),\ \forall t > 0 :\quad \int_x^{\varphi(t,x)} \frac{h(s) - \frac{1}{p}F'(s)}{F(s)} ds \leq C,$$

that is

$$\forall x \in (\alpha, \beta),\ \forall t > 0 :\quad -\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{p}\right) \log \frac{F(\varphi(t,x))}{F(x)} \leq C.$$

Being $F < 0$ in (α, β), we have that $\varphi(\cdot, x)$ is strictly decreasing, hence $\varphi(t, x) < x$ for every $t > 0$. Hence, since F is decreasing,

$$\forall x \in (\alpha, \beta),\ t > 0 :\quad \frac{F(\varphi(t,x))}{F(x)} \leq 1.$$

Thus, if $\lambda < -\frac{1}{p}$, then

$$\forall x \in (\alpha, \beta),\ \forall t > 0 :\quad -\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{p}\right) \log \frac{F(\varphi(t,x))}{F(x)} \leq 0,$$

and so $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ is stable. □

Remark 4.5. Of course it is possible to characterize stability for $\mathcal{T}_{F,h}$ if F is strictly positive, taking into account that φ would be increasing. In this case, we only need to change condition (iii) by $\lambda \geq -\frac{1}{p}$.

References

[1] Amann, H. *Ordinary differential equations*, vol. 13 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1990. An introduction to nonlinear analysis, Translated from the German by Gerhard Metzen.

[2] Aroza, J. Dynamics of strongly continuous semigroups associated to certain differential equations, PhD Thesis, Universitat Politècnica de València, 2015. http://hdl.handle.net/10251/57186

[3] Aroza, J., Kalmes, T., and Mangino, E. Chaotic C_0-semigroups induced by semiflows in Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 412*, 1 (2014), 77 – 98.

[4] Bayart, F., and Matheron, É. *Dynamics of Linear Operators*, first ed., vol. 179 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[5] Brezis, H. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.

[6] Brzeźniak, Z., and Dawidowicz, A. On the chaotic properties of the von Foerster-Lasota equation. *Semigroup Forum* (2013), 1–13.

[7] Brzeźniak, Z., and Dawidowicz, A. L. On periodic solutions to the von Foerster-Lasota equation. *Semigroup Forum 78*, 1 (2009), 118–137.

[8] Dawidowicz, A. L., and Poskrobko, A. On periodic and stable solutions of the Lasota equation in different phase spaces. *Opuscula Math. 28*, 4 (2008), 453–461.

[9] Engel, K.-J., and Nagel, R. *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, vol. 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[10] Grosse-Erdmann, K.-G., and Peris Manguillot, A. *Linear chaos*. Berlin: Springer, 2011.

[11] Kalmes, T. Hypercyclic, mixing, and chaotic C_0-semigroups induced by semiflows. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 27*, 5 (2007), 1599–1631.

[12] Kalmes, T. Hypercyclic C_0-semigroups and evolution families generated by first order differential operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137*, 11 (2009), 3833–3848.

[13] Kalmes, T., A simple characterization of chaos for weighted composition C_0-semigroups on Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144*, 4 (2016), 1561-1573.

[14] Kalmes, T., A remark on the Frequent Hypercyclicity Criterion for weighted composition semigroups and an application to the linear von Foerster-Lasota equation, *Math. Nachr.*, to appear (DOI: 10.1002/mana.201400410).

[15] Lasota, A. Stable and chaotic solutions of a first order partial differential equation. *Nonlinear Anal. 5*, 11 (1981), 1181–1193.

[16] Lasota, A., Mackey, M. C., and Ważewska-Czyżewska, M. Minimizing therapeutically induced anemia. *J. Math. Biol. 13*, 2 (1981/82), 149–158.

[17] Rudnicki, R. Chaoticity and invariant measures for a cell population model. *J. Math. Anal. Appl. 393*, 1 (2012), 151–165.

Javier Aroza, Departament de Matemàtiques - Analisi Matemàtica, Universitat Jaume I de Castelló, E-12071 Castelló de la Plana

E-mail address: aroza@uji.es

Elisabetta Mangino, Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica "E. De Giorgi", Università del Salento, I-73100 Lecce, Italy

E-mail address: elisabetta.mangino@unisalento.it